UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/541,454	07/06/2005	Kohshi Yoshimura	050431	8215
	7590 12/03/200 TOS & HANSON, LL	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, I		BASHORE, ALAIN L		
Suite 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/541,454	YOSHIMURA ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Alain L. Bashore	1792			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
2a)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicat</b>	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-11 and 17-20 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 12-16 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access the drawing of the specification to the or papers.	e withdrawn from consideration.  r election requirement.  r.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) Notic 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Particles of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 7-6-05; 8-11-05;6-13-06;10-18-06;;1-7-08	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	nte			



Application No.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,454 Page 2

Art Unit: 1792

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-11, 17-20 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in the reply filed on 8-29-08.

## Specification

2. The title of the invention is objected to as being too long and not indicative of the currently claimed method. A shortened new title is required that is clearly indicative of the elected invention to which the examined claims are now directed.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 12-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,454 Page 3

Art Unit: 1792

The term ""rare earth metal-based magnet powder" is confusing because the term "based" is not defined in the claims. The term will be understood as "rare earth containing magnet alloy powder".

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102 or 103

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1792

7. Claims 12 and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over European patents EP-102246 (EP '246) or EP-231599 (EP '599).

Page 4

A method for producing an oxidation-resistant rare earth metal-based magnet powder having on its surface an adhesion layer containing a pigment as a component, characterized in that the method comprises mixing a rare earth metal-based magnet powder with a treating solution containing the pigment, and then drying the rare earth metal-based magnet powder having adhered to the surface thereof the treating solution containing the pigment. An organic dispersant medium is also disclosed (see para 0034-0037, 0196-0205, 0212-0213 to EP '246 and para 0036-0042, 0264-0269, 0277-0278 to EP '599).

While the term "primary component" is not utilized in the references, such is considered inherent because of the description given. In an alternative interpretation, the term would be utilized because it would be obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art for purpose of a particular result required (see para 0073-0075 to EP ' 599) for an amount of pigment need.

Art Unit: 1792

8. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European patents EP-102246 (EP '246) or EP-231599 (EP '599).

The previous rejection describes what is disclosed in the references above. The specific weight percentages recited in claim 14 would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art since it is taught that the amount of pigment may affect the resultant coat (see para 0073-0075 to EP '599) in absence of unexpected results.

9. Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over European patents EP-102246 (EP '246) or EP-231599 (EP '599) as applied to claims above, and further in view of Kageyama.

European patents EP-102246 (EP '246) or EP-231599 (EP '599) do not disclose obtaining by filtration the powder having adhered to the surface thereof the treating solution containing the pigment.

Kageyama discloses obtaining by filtration a powder having adhered to the surface thereof the treating solution containing the pigment (col 4, lines 38-46).

It would have been obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art to include filtration because excess solution is unwanted in the art per se.

10. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kishimoto et al.

A method for producing an oxidation-resistant rare earth metal-based magnet powder having on its surface an adhesion layer containing a pigment as a component is disclosed (see col 5, lines 37-50; col15, lines 14-20; col 16, lines 22-31).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Application/Control Number: 10/541,454 Page 7

Art Unit: 1792

#### Conclusion

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alain L. Bashore whose telephone number is 571-272-6739. The examiner can normally be reached on about 7:30 am to 5:00 pm (Mon. thru Thurs.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Timothy Meeks can be reached on 571-272-1423. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Alain L. Bashore/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792